

APPENDIX C
Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Forest: Eldorado National Forest	District: Placerville	County: Eldorado
Observers: Steve G. Markman, Hydrologist		Date: June 4, 2015 Time: 1130
Number and/or name of road or trail: 10N14	UTM: 10S 0747580 } at Road 4290453 } crossing of stream	
Meadow or Aquatic Feature reference number: 10N14-1		

Current Conditions

Current weather:

Cloudy.

Has it rained or has snow melted recently? If so, describe event (estimated rainfall amount, duration of storm, etc.):

Yes. Light rain in previous 24 hours.

Wetness of meadow
or aquatic feature

- or aquatic feature**
(Select the best answer
at the time of the
survey)
- ☐ **Very wet** (Standing or flowing surface water present in all or a large portion of feature).
- ☐ **Moderately wet** (Surface water in part of feature and/or ground surface wet in most of feature).
- ☒ **Slightly wet** (No surface water. Ground surface wet in part of feature).
- ☐ **Mostly dry** (No surface water. Ground surface dry in most of feature).
- ☐ **Dry** (No surface water. Ground surface dry in entire feature).

Assessment of Corrective Action

What corrective actions were implemented? Select and fill out appropriate sections below.

☒ Rolling dip/waterbars ☐ Sediment basin/trap ☐ Gravel/rock reinforcement
☐ Drivable ford ☐ Trail re-route ☐ other (describe in section below)

Rolling dip/waterbar

Is water effectively routed off the trail? X Yes _____ No

Are rills or gullies present? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, for what length? _____

Are they introducing sediment to the aquatic feature? Yes No

Does the rolling dip/waterbar need maintenance or reconstruction? Yes ☒ No

If yes, describe:

Comments:

Comments:
The 4 rolling dips are effectively routing runoff and sediment off of road before reaching the meadow and stream.

Sediment basin

Not applicable.

Is the basin effective at catching all sediment? ☐ Yes ☒ No

How full is basin with sediment? _____ empty _____ 25% _____ 50%

_____ 75% _____ 100%

Does the basin need to be emptied? Yes No

Is additional work necessary for the sediment basin to function properly? Yes No

If yes, describe:

Comments

Gravel/rock placement

Not applicable.

Has the gravel/rock remained in place? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Is there bare ground present where rock/gravel was placed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how much? _____ <10% _____ 25% _____ 50% _____ >75%

Is additional gravel/rock necessary? Yes No

If yes, describe:

Comments:

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

<p>Drivable ford <i>Not applicable</i></p> <p>At the time of the survey, is water present? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Has any part of the hardened approach failed? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, describe:</p> <p>Is sediment being introduced from the approaches at the crossing? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, describe:</p>	<p>Comments</p>
<p>Trail re-route <i>Not applicable</i></p> <p>Is there evidence of erosion or sedimentation on or originating from the new trail segment? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, answer the following questions.</p> <p>What is the evidence of erosion or sedimentation?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> rill erosion <input type="checkbox"/> gully erosion <input type="checkbox"/> sheet erosion <input type="checkbox"/> rutting</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> turbid water <input type="checkbox"/> sediment plume or accumulation</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> change in substrate composition <input type="checkbox"/> other (describe)</p> <p>What are the sources of erosion or sedimentation? Check all that apply:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> trail surface <input type="checkbox"/> trail cut-slope <input type="checkbox"/> trail fill-slope</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> unhardened crossing approach <input type="checkbox"/> poor trail location</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> rolling dip, water bar, other drainage feature <input type="checkbox"/> inadequate soil cover</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> insufficient number of water control features <input type="checkbox"/> improper grade</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> improper construction of water control features <input type="checkbox"/> bare ground on trail</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> other (describe):</p> <p>Is the erosion or sedimentation impacting an aquatic feature? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, what type of aquatic feature?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> stream <input type="checkbox"/> meadow <input type="checkbox"/> wetland <input type="checkbox"/> spring/seep <input type="checkbox"/> fen</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> other aquatic feature (describe:)</p> <p>Describe impacts to aquatic feature:</p> <p>Describe any work necessary to fix erosion/sedimentation issues associated with the re-route:</p>	<p>Comments</p>
<p>Other corrective action (describe):</p> <p><i>None.</i></p>	<p>Comments</p>
<p>Has the corrective action resulted in negative impacts elsewhere? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, describe:</p>	

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Is there evidence of motorized use off of the trail? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, describe:

Is there evidence of chemical or fuel spills? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, describe:

Criteria for Rating Standard & Guideline 100 of the SNFPA

Does the road or trail intercept and divert surface and/or subsurface water from the aquatic feature such that the aquatic feature has decreased in size and/or wetness? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, describe in comments section below.Has runoff from the road or trail eroded sediment into the aquatic feature such that the size and/or wetness of the aquatic feature has been reduced? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, describe in comments section below.Has runoff from the road or trail caused a stream channel to downcut such that the water table has dropped and decreased the size and/or wetness of an aquatic feature? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, describe in comments section below.

Comments:

The 4 rolling dips are effectively routing runoff and sediment off of road before reaching the meadow and stream.

Findings with Respect to Standard & Guideline 100

☒ Yes. S&G #100 is being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.☐ No. S&G #100 is NOT being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.

Must check yes to one or more of the questions above.

☐ I. Inconclusive. Field evidence not sufficient to determine if S&G 100 is being met.

S&G 100: Maintain and restore the hydrologic connectivity of streams, meadows, wetlands, and other special aquatic features by identifying roads and trails that intercept, divert, or disrupt natural surface and subsurface water flow paths. Implement corrective actions where necessary to restore connectivity.